Institute of Transfusion Medicine Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, TU-Dresden German Red Cross Blood Donation Service North-East



Medizinische Fakultät Carl Gustav Carus Reformfakultät des Stifterverbandes für die Deutsche Wissenschaft





Covid-19 Convalescent plasma

Torsten Tonn

Med. Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, TU Dresden DRK Blutspendedienst Nord-Ost gGmbH

t.tonn@blutspende.de







- Immune reaction in ill patient
- Induction of neutralizing antibodies
- Collection of convalescent plasma containing neutralizing antibodies
- Transfusion into patients with acute disease or as prophylaxis to people at risk

DRK-Blutspendedienst Nord-Ost gemeinnützige GmbH Berlin | Brandenburg | Hamburg Sachsen | Schleswig-Holstein

Rationale I



Why convalescent plasma for COVID-19?

Neutralizing humoral immune response after infection with SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2:

- Rapid and sustained humoral immune response after infection with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (abundance of data, see example next slides)
- Now first data available confirming this also for immune reaction against SARS-CoV-2
- Neutralizing capacity of these antibodies (shown for SARS-CoV- and SARS-CoV-2 antibodies)

Experience on use of Convalescent Plasma in SARS and MERS:

- CP: safe and feasible
- Efficacy: advantages have been reported regarding survival, length of ventilation, length of stay on ICU or in hospital

(see meta-analysis Mair-Jenkins et al. 2015, next slide)

BUT: no randomized control – retrospective analyses





Metanalysis for convalescent plasma for treatment of acute viral respiratory infections (H1N1, H5N1, SARS)

27 Studies included in the review, <u>none of the study was placebo –controlled</u>!





Mair-Jenkins et al J Infect Dis 2015:211:80-90

Mortality rate decreases from 7.0% to 1.2% in COVID-19 patients transfused with CCP containing high titer neutralising antibody capacity within 72hrs of hospital admission







Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Patients with Convalescent Plasma Reveals a Signal of Significantly Decreased Mortality

Eric Salazar, *¹ Paul A. Christensen, * Edward A. Graviss, *¹ Duc T. Nguyen,² Brian Castillo, * Jian Chen, * Bevin V. Lopez, Todd N. Eagar, *¹ Xin Yi, *¹ Picheng Zhao, * John Rogers, * Ahmed Shehabeldin, * David Joseph, * Christopher Leveque, * Randall J. Olsen, *¹¹ David W. Bernard, *¹ Jimmy Gollihar, ⁴ and James M. Musser*¹¹

Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curves for mortality within 28 days post-day 0 for secondary matched cohorts. A: All secondary matched patients. B: Secondary matched patients transfused within 72 hours of admission. C: Secondary matched patients transfused >72 hours after admission. D: Secondary matched patients transfused within 72 hours of admission with plasma with anti—receptor binding domain IgG titer >1:1350.



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults

R. Libster, G. Pérez Marc, D. Wappner, S. Coviello, A. Bianchi, V. Braem,

Patient Group	Patients with Severe	Relative Risk	Relative Risk
Patient Group	no./total no. (%)	(35% CI)	percent
Placebo group	25/80 (31)	1.00	
Recipient of SARS-CoV-2 S IgG in donor plasma*			
At a titer at or above median concentration	3/36 (8)	0.27 (0.08–0.68)	73.3
At a titer below median concentration	9/42 (21)	0.69 (0.34–1.31)	31.4



Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 Serum Titers, According to Trial Group.

Shown are IgG antibody titers against SARS-CoV-2 spike (5) protein in the convalescent plasma and placebo groups 24 hours after infusion. The horizontal bars indicate medians, and the shaded gray areas interquartile ranges. Each circle represents one patient.





DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2033700 Copyright © 2021 Massachusetts Medical Society.

DRK-Blutspendedienst Nord-Ost

gemeinnützige GmbH Berlin | Brandenburg | Hamburg Sachsen | Schleswig-Holstein





CLINICAL TRIALS AND OBSERVATIONS

Convalescent plasma therapy for B-cell-depleted patients with protracted COVID-19

Thomas Hueso,^{1,2} Cécile Pouderoux,³ Hélène Péré,^{4,5} Anne-Lise Beaumont,⁶ Laure-Anne Raillon,³ Florence Ader,^{3,7} Lucienne Chatenoud,^{8,9}

KEY POINTS

 As a proof of concept, COVID-19 convalescent plasma represents an interesting approach in B-cell-depleted patients with protracted COVID-19.

 COVID-19 convalescent plasma induces a decrease in temperature and inflammatory parameters within 1 week associated with oxygen weaning.

Anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies are widely used for the treatment of hematological malignancies or autoimmune disease but may be responsible for a secondary humoral deficiency. In the context of COVID-19 infection, this may prevent the elicitation of a specific SARS-CoV-2 antibody response. We report a series of 17 consecutive patients with profound B-cell lymphopenia and prolonged COVID-19 symptoms, negative immunoglobulin G (IgG)-IgM SARS-CoV-2 serology, and positive RNAemia measured by digital polymerase chain reaction who were treated with 4 units of COVID-19 convalescent plasma. Within 48 hours of transfusion, all but 1 patient experienced an improvement of clinical symptoms. The inflammatory syndrome abated within a week. Only 1 patient who needed mechanical ventilation for severe COVID-19 disease died of bacterial pneumonia. SARS-CoV-2 RNAemia decreased to below the sensitivity threshold in all 9 evaluated patients. In 3 patients, virus-specific T-cell responses were analyzed using T-cell enzyme-linked immunospot assay before convalescent plasma transfusion. All showed a maintained SARS-CoV-2 T-cell response and poor cross-response to other coronaviruses. No adverse event was reported. Convalescent plasma with anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies appears to be a very promising approach in the context of protracted COVID-19 symptoms in patients unable to mount a specific humoral response to SARS-CoV-2. (Blood. 2020;136(20):2290-2295)



1	
2	Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital
3	with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised,
4	controlled, open-label, platform trial
5	
6	Running title: Convalescent plasma for COVID-19
7	
8	The RECOVERY Collaborative Group*
9	
10	*The writing committee and trial steering committee are listed at the end of this
11	manuscript and a complete list of collaborators in the Randomised Evaluation of
12	COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial is provided in the Appendix

13

veprint dot: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.09.21252736; this version posted March 10, 2021. The copyright holder for i was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted moRRev a facence to display the preprint in p R is made available under a OC.PV 4.0 International former.

09. März 2021 Prepub RECOVERY TRIAL

630 Table 2: Primary, Secondary and Subsidiary Outcomes

	Convalescent plasma (n=5795)	Usual Care (n=5763)	RR (95% CI)	p value
Primary outcome				
Mortality at 28 days	1398 (24%)	1408 (24%)	1 00 (0 93-1 07)	0.93
Secondary outcomes	C C	1	(1	• .1
Nedian duration of hose NO ber	nefit for con	valescent	t plasma	. W1tł
)ischarged from hospita			1	
nvasive mechanical vei regard to) primary ar	nd second	larv end	noin
Invasive mechanica				P • · · ·
Death	Also not	in Subora	ning	
Subsidiary outcomes		in Subgit	Jups	
Jse of ventilation †	860/3564 (24%)	863/3441 (25%)	0.96 (0.89-1.04)	0.36
Non-invasive ventilation	822/3564 (23%)	821/3441 (24%)	0.97 (0.89-1.05)	0.43
Invasive mechanical ventilation	226/3564 (6%)	237/3441 (7%)	0.92 (0.77-1.10)	0.36
Successful cessation of invasive mechanical vent	ilation ‡ 87/302 (29%)	112/315 (36%)	0.77 (0.59-1.03)	0.07
Renal replacement therapy §	258/5729 (5%)	249/5713 (4%)	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	0.71

Data are n (%) or n/N (%). RR=rate ratio for the outcomes of 28-day mortality, hospital discharge, and successful cessation of invasive mechanical ventilation, and risk ratio for other outcomes.

* Analyses exclude those on invasive mechanical ventilation at randomisation.

† Analyses exclude those on invasive or non-invasive ventilation at randomisation.

‡ Analyses exclude those not receiving invasive mechanical ventilation at randomisation.

§ Analyses exclude those on renal replacement therapy at randomisation.

DRK-Blutspendedienst Nord-Ost

gemeinnützige GmbH Berlin | Brandenburg | Hamburg Sachsen | Schleswig-Holstein

Deutsches Rotes Kreuz

nature	ADTICLES	а	High-titer CCP		Control					
medicine	AKTICLES https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01488-2	Study	Sample size	Events	Sample size	Events	RR (95% CI)	Random el	fects model	
ODEN	(R) Check for updates	Avendaño-Solà 2020	38	0	43	4	0.13 (0.01, 2.26)	<		
Convalescent pla	sma for hospitalized patients	Bennett-Guerrero 2020	59	14	15	4	0.89 (0.34, 2.31)			
with COVID-19: 2	n open-label randomized	Estcourt 2021	1,078	401	904	347	0.97 (0.87, 1.09)	4		
controlled trial	in open-label, randomized	Gharbharan 2020	43	6	43	11	0.55 (0.22, 1.34)	← · · · 		
controlled trial		Horby 2021	5,795	1,398	5,763	1,408	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)	÷.		
Philippe Bégin ^{1,2,87} , Jeannie C	Philippe Bégin⊕ ^{12,87} , Jeannie Callum⊜ ^{3,4,5,6,87} , Erin Jamula ⁷ , Richard Cook ⁸ , Nancy M. Heddle ^{6,29} , Alan Tinmouth ^{6,1031} , Michelle P. Zeller ^{6,29} , Guillaume Beaudoin-Bussières ^{12,13} , Luiz Amorim ¹⁴ , Renée Bazin ¹⁵ , Kent Cadogan Loftsgard ¹⁶ , Richard Carl ¹⁷ , Michaël Chassé ^{2,18} , Melissa M. Cushing ^{19,20} ,	Körper 2021	53	7	52	8	0.86 (0.34, 2.20)		23	
Renée Bazin ¹⁵ , Kent Cadogan Lofts		Li 2020	52	8	51	12	0.65 (0.29, 1.47)	<		
Nick Daneman ²¹ , Dana V. Devine ²²	223, Jeannot Dumaresq ^{24,25} , Dean A. Fergusson ^{6,10,26} ,	Libster 2020	80	2	80	4	0.50 (0.09, 2.65)	< · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Caroline Gabe', Marshall J. Glesby ^{© 27} , Na Li ^{2,24,0} , Yang Liu', Allison McGeer ^{24,14} , Nancy Robitaille ^{12,131,34} , Bruce S. Sachais ^{20,35} , Damon C. Scales ^{34,37} , Lisa Schwartz ^{© 38} , Nadine Shehata ^{4,39,40} , Alexis F. Turgeon ^{© 41,42} , Heidi Wood ⁴³ , Ryan Zarychanski ⁴⁴ , Andrés Finzi ^{12,13} , the CONCOR-1 Study Group [*] and Donald M. Arnold ^{© 25,87} ⊟	chais ^{20,35} , Damon C. Scales ^{36,37} , Lisa Schwartz ³⁸ ,	O'Donnell 2021	150	19	73	18	0.51 (0.29, 0.92)	← → − −		
	Ray 2020	40	10	40	14	0.71 (0.36, 1.41)	· · · · · ·			
		Simonovich 2020	228	25	105	12	0.96 (0.50, 1.83)			
		CONCOR-1 blood supplier 1	343	75	173	40	0.95 (0.67, 1.33)			
		Total (95% CI)	7,959		7,342		0.97 (0.92, 1.02)	4		
		Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0; Chi ² = 10.80	0, df = 11 (P = 0.46); I^2	2 = 0%				0.3 0.5 1	2	5
							I	Favors high-titer CCP	Favors control	
								RR (95% CI)	
		b								
			Unselected CCP		Control			Random	effects model	
		Study	Sample size	Events	Sample size	Events	RR (95% CI)	1		
		Agarwal 2020	235	34	229	31	1.07 (0.68, 1.68)		<u>+</u>	
		AlQahtani 2020	20	1	20	2	0.50 (0.05. 5.08)	·		
		Bajpai 2020	14	3	15	1	3.21 (0.38, 27.40)	1 2	+	•>
		Hamdy Salman 2020	15	0	15	0				
		CONCOR-1 blood supplier 2/3/4	271	66	134	23	1.42 (0.93, 2.17)	+		
		Total (95% CI)	555		413		1.25 (0.92; 1.69)	-	-	

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0; Chi² = 2.15, df = 3 (*P* = 0.54); *I*² = 0%



1

2

Favors control

5

0.3 0.5

Favors unselected CCP





Akronym:	CAPSID
EudraCT number:	2020-001310-38
Sponsor's ID:	CAPSID2020-DRK-BSD
Sponsor:	DRK Blutspendedienst Baden-Württemberg-Hessen
Lead Investigators:	H.Schrezenmeier, E.Seifried
Trial Coordinator:	S.Körper

- A randomized, prospective, multicenter, open label clinical trial of convalescent plasma compared to best supportive care for treatment of patients with severe COVID-19.
- Patients who are randomized to best supportive care <u>and</u> with progressive disease at evaluation on day 14 are allowed to change to **cross over arm** to convalescent plasma treatment.
- Sample Size: **53 patients per treatment group (total n=106)** dropout rate of 10%.
- Convalescent plasma dose: **3 treatments, each 250-325 ml** on day 1, 3 and 5.



• Study duration: 12 months



DRK-Blutspendedienst Nord-Ost

gemeinnützige GmbH Berlin | Brandenburg | Hamburg Sachsen | Schleswig-Holstein



JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Results of the CAPSID randomized trial for high-dose convalescent plasma in severe COVID-19 patients

Sixten Körper, ..., Erhard Seifried, Hubert Schrezenmeier

J Clin Invest. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI152264.







Convalescent plasma authorization status in Germany

In Germany blood components are classified as medicinal products according to the German Drug Act (AMG). Thus convalescent plasma will require a marketing authorization based on clinical evidence for safety and efficacy.

As CCP represents an unproven therapy, the German national competent authority "Paul-Ehrlich-Institute" encouraged the conduct of well-controlled clinical trials to rigorously evaluate the safety and efficacy of convalescent plasma.

In parallel, the German regional federal state authorities (the Länder) facilitated access to convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19 disease through an emergency marketing authorization process in April allowing compassionate use.



IND status granted to nationwide multicentre trial CAPSID by Paul-Ehrlich-Institute (PEI) on April 08.2020

A randomized, prospective, open label clinical trial on the use of convalescent plasma compared to best supportive care in patients with severe COVID-19 (CAPSID)

Eudra-CT 2020-001310-38

Sponsor: German Red Cross Blood Donation Service Baden-Württemberg-Hessen; Prof. Dr. Hubert Schrezenmeier (Ulm), Prof. Dr. Erhard Seifried (Frankfurt/M)



Since not all expected COVID-19 patients in Germany could be recruited into the randomized CAPSID trial, there was the urgent request by hospitals to also make CCP available on basis of a compassionate use program



The Dresden COVID-19 convalescent plasma program - From donor to product





Pathogen reduction and or cryopreservation does not alter the content and neutralizing capazity of CCP





Plasmapheresis does not alter the donor's SARS-CoV-2 IgG titre and neutralising antibody capacity







Potential benefit of convalescent plasma transfusions in immunocompromised patients with COVID-19



Rodionov et al. Lancet Microbe in press

Figure: Correlation between anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG titres 24–48 h after the last transfusion and improvement in clinical status in patients with COVID-19 (n=14) Datapoints represent each patient. Clinical improvement was defined as an improvement of 1 point or more on the 10-point WHO Clinical Progression Scale for COVID-19 5 days after the last transfusion and the clinical status before transfusion.



DRK-Blutspendedienst Nord-Ost gemeinnützige GmbH Berlin | Brandenburg | Hamburg Sachsen | Schleswig-Holstein



Relationship between the ABO Blood Group and the COVID-19 Susceptibility

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.11.20031096 Jiao Zhao, et al.

The results showed that blood group A was associated with a higher risk for acquiring COVID-19 compared with non-A blood groups, whereas blood group O was associated with a lower risk for the infection compared with non-O blood groups.





Rationale for the use of Covid – 19 convalescent plasma as of march 2021

- Randomised clinical trials suggest a therapeutic benefit for high titre Covid-19 Covid-19 convalescent plasma when given early after infection.
- Immunocompromised patients with persistent Covid-19 infections may profit from high titer Covid-19 convalescent plasma. Randomised clinical trials are pending.
- University Hospital Dresden: Patients who are immunocomprised due to stem cell transplantation, cancer radio-/chemotherapy and who did not mount a SARS-CoV-2 IgG response have been treated with high titre Covid-19 convalescent plasma. 20 IE/ml Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG titres in the patient convalescent plasma. 20 IE/ml Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG titres in the patient convalescent plasma.



Universätsklinikum Dresden R. Rodionov S. Bornstein A. Biener K. Hölig M. Kolditz P. Spieth A. Dalpke T. Koch

DRK Blutspendedienst B. Hauboldt M. Johnsen M. Wohsmann

A. Günther

Thanks to:

T. Burkhardt A. Karl K. Gubbe K. Frank Berlin Institute of Virology, Charite V. M. Corman A. Richter C. Drosten

